

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. WAL-0007 9110 10/030,505 06/03/2002 Magnus Andersson **EXAMINER** 23413 7590 10/06/2004 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP PATEL, TAJASH D 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 3765

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/030,505	ANDERSSON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Tejash D Patel	3765
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/8/02	2 & 7/9/02 (Pre-amdt A & B).	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19, 21-24; 26-39 and 41-45</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20,25 and 40</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (I	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3, 8 and 9.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/030,505

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 11-14, 17-19, 21-24, 28-29, 31-36, and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cushman (US 5,920,911.

Cushman discloses a hearing protective hood (10) including injection molding of plastic material into a single contiguous piece (23) that has at least two mutually contiguous portions employing different first and second groups of plastic materials (24, 26) with different properties in at least one respect, col. 7, lines 5-19 and as shown in figures 10 and 11. Further, the plastic material can be porous/open celled, col. 7, lines 53-58. In addition, an abutment ring/tension plate (24) being inherently made of soft and elastic material is disposed along a peripheral edge of the hood as shown in figure 12. Furthermore, the first group of material defines outer and inner layers. Also, at least two of the contiguous portions are visible on the outer surface of the hood with a separation line therebetween as shown in figure 12. In addition, each of the two contiguous portions defines surfaces which has a free end towards and outside of the hood. An intermediate foamed layer (25) is positioned between the inner and outer layers of the hood.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/030,505

Art Unit: 3765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6, 9-10, 15-16, 26-27, 30, 37 and 41-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushman

With regard to claims 4--6, 9-10, 16, 26-27, 30, 37 and 41-45 it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to form the plastic materials having inner and outer layers and the intermediate layer of Cushman from different densities, hardness, or modulus of elasticity depending on the particular application thereof or end use thereof.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 20, 25 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-9184. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

September 30, 2004

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER